

ORIGINAL

D&F  
c/m

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x  
KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW LTD., as  
Broadcast Licensee of the August 13, 2005  
RAHMAN/BARRETT Program,

Plaintiff,  
-against-

ALEXANDER PEREZ, Individually and d/b/a  
QUIKUTS a/k/a QUICKUTS a/k/a  
QUICKCUTS, and QUIKUTS a/a/a QUICKUTS  
a/k/a QUICKCUTS,

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER  
No. 06-CV-1117 (FB) (SMG)

Defendants.

-----x

*Appearances:*

*For the Plaintiff:*

JULIE COHEN LONSTEIN, ESQ.  
1 Terrace Hill, Box 351  
Ellenville, NY 12428

**BLOCK, Senior District Judge:**

On April 9, 2007, Magistrate Judge Steven M. Gold issued a Report and Recommendation ("R & R") recommending that default judgment be entered against the defendants in the amount of \$6,442.75, composed of \$5,000 in statutory damages and \$1,442.75 in attorneys' fees and costs. The R & R states that "[a]ny objections the recommendations made in this Report must be filed with the Clerk of the Court . . . within ten days of receiving this Report and Recommendation and, in any event, no later than April 27, 2007," R & R at 11, and that "[f]ailure to file timely objections may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order." *Id.* Plaintiff served a copy of the R & R on the defendants by certified mail on April 10, 2007. *See* Docket Entry #14 (Certificate of Service).

To date, no objections have been filed.

Where, as here, clear notice has been given of the consequences of failure to object, and there are no objections, the Court may adopt the R & R without *de novo* review. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149-50 (1985); *Mario v. P & C Food Mkts., Inc.*, 313 F.3d 758, 766 (2d Cir. 2002) (“Where parties receive clear notice of the consequences, failure timely to object to a magistrate’s report and recommendation operates as a waiver of further judicial review of the magistrate’s decision.”). The Court will excuse the failure to object and conduct *de novo* review if it appears that the magistrate judge may have committed plain error. *See Spence v. Superintendent, Great Meadow Corr. Facility*, 219 F.3d 162, 174 (2d Cir. 2000).

As no error appears on the face of the Magistrate Gold’s R & R, the Court adopts it without *de novo* review. The Clerk is directed to enter judgment to the extent permitted by the R & R.

**SO ORDERED.**

/signed/

  
FREDERIC BLOCK  
Senior United States District Judge

Brooklyn, New York  
April 30, 2007